UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,794	11/08/2006	Michael Murphy	VEECO 3.3-082	6426
	7590 03/29/201 /ID, LITTENBERG,	EXAMINER		
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK			FORD, NA	ATHAN K
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/29/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/568,794	MURPHY ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		NATHAN K. FORD	1792				
Perio	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap d for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Statu	s						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 M	March 2007					
2a)		s action is non-final.					
	<i></i>	, 					
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispo	osition of Claims						
4)	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application	٦.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	8) Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Appli	cation Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
. – .	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attach	ment(s)						
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 1	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
- —	nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-19, drawn to a reactor for treating a substrate.

Group II, claims 20-26, drawn to a method of treating substrates.

If a reference teaches every common element between the above groupings, the requirement of unity of invention will not be satisfied. Gurary et al., US 6,197,121, teaches every common element between both groups:

- Rotating a substrate about an axis (3, 52-60);
- Introducing reactant and carrier gases into the chamber such that each treatment surface of the substrate receives the same amount of reactant gas per unit time per unit area (5, 13-25; 9, 24-41).

There is no other common matter among the claim groupings that could be considered of special technical significance. In light of Gurary, the reactor system is not technically significant under PCT Rule 13.2, Section 2. As such, the claims do not satisfy the requirement of unity of invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner

Application/Control Number: 10/568,794

Art Unit: 1792

finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection

Page 3

under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to

Nathan K. Ford whose telephone number is 571-270-1880. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5:00

EDT. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Cleveland,

can be reached at 571-272-1418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 571-273-8300.

/N. K. F./

Examiner, Art Unit 1792

/Karla Moore/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792